

Potter Valley Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Potter Valley Elementary School
Street	10401 Main St.
City, State, Zip	Potter Valley CA, 95469
Phone Number	(707) 743-1115
Principal	Lori Candelaria
E-mail Address	lcandelaria@mcoe.us
Web Site	
CDS Code	23-73866-6025241

District Contact Information	
District Name	Potter Valley Community Unified School District
Phone Number	(707) 743-2101
Superintendent	Damon Dickinson
E-mail Address	damon@mcoe.us
Web Site	www.pottervalleyschools.us

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Potter Valley Community Unified School District was born out of a community commitment to local education. Once a part of Ukiah Unified Schools, community members came together in the late 1970's to fight for the right to form our own school district under local control. This dream became a reality in 1977-1978 when Potter Valley officially de-unified from Ukiah and formed Potter Valley Community Unified School District.

After over 39 years of operation, Potter Valley continues to proudly provide a high quality, local education for students, preschool through 12th grade. We are pleased to offer drama, Spanish and art throughout the district. We have an early release day on Thursdays for students which enables teachers to participate in professional development opportunities.

We pride ourselves in providing a uniquely relational approach to education in contrast to the big-box schools that struggle to keep students from becoming a face in the crowd. As state and federal mandates and increased governmental intrusion place greater emphasis on data driven decision making and increased focus on student performance measured by high-stakes testing, the students, parents, and staff at Potter Valley Elementary School have committed themselves to making the necessary adjustments to meet these demands, but also to remain true to our roots and keep the best interest of students at the center of everything we do. Our motto, "Potter Valley Schools, a family rather than a factory approach to education," expresses this focus, and inspires all stakeholders to work hard to maintain a school where "Community" is more than just a word in our district name; it is a description of how we approach education.

For questions about our schools contact the school offices. Elementary Office 707-743-1115 Jr./Sr. High Office 707-743-1142

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	28
Grade 1	27
Grade 2	19
Grade 3	24
Grade 4	19
Grade 5	23
Grade 6	19
Total Enrollment	159

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.5
Asian	0
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	48.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	45.9
Two or More Races	2.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	71.1
English Learners	29.6
Students with Disabilities	5.7
Foster Youth	1.3

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	9	8	8	16.33
Without Full Credential	0	1	1	3
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	.2

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: Sept, 2016

The District is currently researching curriculum that is aligned with the Common Core Standards

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Reading Kindergarten, Houghton Mifflin - 2002 Reading Delights - Triumphs 1-6th grades, Houghton Mifflin - 2002	Yes	0
Mathematics	Envision Math K- 5th grades, Scott Foresman - 2008 Math Course 1, McDougal Littel - 2008	No	0
Science	Discovery Works 1 - 6th Grades, Houghton Mifflin - 2000	No	0
History-Social Science	My World - World Hist. 1st - 6th grades, Houghton Mifflin - 2008	No	0
Foreign Language	NA		
Health	NA	Yes	
Visual and Performing Arts	NA		0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	NA		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The Elementary School was constructed in the 1950's and the Junior/Senior High School was built in 1981. The gymnasium, built in the 1950's is used by the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High schools. The stage was re-purposed in 2009 and transformed into a weight training facility that can be monitored while other activities are supervised in the gym.

School buildings and grounds are generally in good repair and students and staff work together to keep them clean and maintained. Students in our landscaping class do upkeep of lawns and flower beds and also construct various landscape projects annually.

Recent facilities improvements included re-roofing several buildings in the district, resurfacing the gym floor, removing trees that were causing damage to structures or sidewalks, and installing a back-up water storage system.

Routine site inspections are performed monthly by maintenance staff. The latest report showed no safety issues and several items in need of repair. Safety inspections are performed at least annually by the district's insurance company with the guidance and cooperation of our maintenance staff.

In the 2012-13 School Year the District has contracted Alameida Architecture to prepare a Facility Assessment and Master Plan. A copy of this plan is on our district web-site. On November 3, 2015, the Potter Valley community passed a general obligation bond for \$3.1 million to repair our facilities.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: February 5, 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			The electrical main switchgear needs to be replaced.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: February 5, 2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	30	36	30	31	44	48
Mathematics	17	32	17	23	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	23	23	100.0	30.4
	4	20	20	100.0	25.0
	5	22	22	100.0	68.2
	6	17	16	94.1	12.5
Male	3	14	14	100.0	28.6
	6	11	11	100.0	9.1
Female	4	12	12	100.0	41.7
	5	12	12	100.0	83.3
Hispanic or Latino	4	11	11	100.0	9.1
	5	11	11	100.0	54.5
White	3	13	13	100.0	38.5
	6	11	10	90.9	10.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	15	15	100.0	20.0
	4	16	16	100.0	18.8
	5	15	15	100.0	53.3
	6	12	12	100.0	16.7

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	23	23	100.0	56.5
	4	20	20	100.0	25.0
	5	22	22	100.0	27.3
	6	17	16	94.1	12.5
Male	3	14	14	100.0	50.0
	6	11	11	100.0	9.1
Female	4	12	12	100.0	33.3
	5	12	12	100.0	16.7
Hispanic or Latino	4	11	11	100.0	9.1
	5	11	11	100.0	18.2
White	3	13	13	100.0	69.2
	6	11	10	90.9	20.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	15	15	100.0	40.0
	4	16	16	100.0	18.8
	5	15	15	100.0	20.0
	6	12	12	100.0	16.7

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	36	53	68	34	55	50	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	22	22	100.0	68.2
Female	12	12	100.0	66.7
Hispanic or Latino	11	11	100.0	54.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	15	15	100.0	53.3

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The “Proficient or Advanced” is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	28.6	4.8	57.1

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parents are a vital part of our school and have many opportunities to be involved. We include parents in many decisions through participation in the School Site Council, community meetings, field trips, fund raising events and parent committees. Our library is staffed by parent volunteers. Additionally, parents are welcomed in classrooms to assist with special projects and are utilized as chaperones on field trips. School administrators welcome parent phone calls and are readily available to meet with parents to discuss concerns or take input on school programs or projects. Parent input is also gathered for programs such as Title I, English Language Learners and Special Education. Last year parents participated in planning and writing the Local Control Accountability Plan.

Parents interested in meeting with teachers or administrators are encouraged to call the elementary school office at 707-743-1115 and speak with principal, Lori Candelaria, or school secretary, Mary Esenbock.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	0.0	3.8	0.0	5.3	7.5	8.4	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

The Comprehensive School Safety Plan was originally adopted in 1998. Since that time this plan has been used as a reference to guide board policy, administrative regulations, and site level planning and procedures.

Safety concerns are addressed weekly at Maintenance Operations Transportation and Safety Committee meetings. Concerns regarding safety, including those related to student behavior are addressed immediately. Students participate in fire, earthquake and school lock-down drills and bus evacuation drills.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 2	
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2013-14			Avg. Class Size	2014-15			Avg. Class Size	2015-16					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes				
		1-20	21-32			33+	1-20			21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+
K				21		1		21		1				
1				16	1			16	1					
2				23		1		23		1				
3				19	1			19	1					
4				17	1			17	1					
5				14	1			14	1					
6				11	1			11	1					

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	0.4	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.2	N/A
Resource Specialist	1.0	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$7,307	\$1,951	\$5,356	\$48,962
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,606	\$49,572
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	16.3	-13.5
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$60,705
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-14.7	-29.5

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Categorical Funding Sources have restricted uses, but support the school in the mission of equitable services to all students.

Categorical funds are used in facilitating the development of the district's Local Improvement Plan. School staff, school site councils, other site personnel, and advisory committees provide oversight of categorical funds. Advisory Committees, site instructional leaders, and project coordinators oversee the management of the English Learners Program, monitor and provide instructional support to all categorically funded programs under the new NCLB Reauthorization Act, and conduct needs assessments. The superintendent is responsible for monitoring the site level implementation of categorical programs and acting as a liaison for the district with the federal and state government and county agencies.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$33,000	\$40,430
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$49,016	\$58,909
Highest Teacher Salary	\$66,342	\$77,358
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		\$94,634
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$89,674	\$97,839
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$89,674	\$100,453
Superintendent Salary	\$40,000	\$123,728
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	32%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	8%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

In addition to the 4 days of professional development scheduled at the beginning of the school year, there are 33 minimum days that are used for professional development. Teachers, administrators, and classified staff, are welcome to participate in professional development outside of the district. In 2014-15, the district hired a mentor/coach for all new teachers in the district. This mentor provides support for development of lesson plans, academic pacing, classroom management and all aspects that new teachers need to succeed in a new district. This mentor is also available to all certificated staff. This support proved to be so successful that it was continued in 2015-16.